

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,858	03/29/2004	Richard G. Struve	03-1088 (BOE 0481 PA) 2857	
27256	7590 08/24/2005		EXAMINER	
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C.			HOLZEN, STEPHEN A	
28333 TELEC SUITE 250	RAPH RD.	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			3644	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/708,858	STRUVE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen A. Holzen	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•	:				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	<u>une 2005</u> .	•				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	;				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,17-25 and 27-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		4				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,15,17,25,27 and 30</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-14,18-24,26-29 and 31-35</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	;				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) [_] Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/6/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that Sprecht does not provide a compression fit between the pair of frames, and instead provides a sprayed on foam. While the examiner agrees with applicants assertion that Sprecht use a spray, it should be noted that the phrase "compression fit" has not be defined by the applicant in the claims or disclosure in such a way to differentiate the claims from the prior art. It is the examiners position that as the foam is sprayed onto the interior of the skin, the foam expands (inherent property) and as the foam expands it pushes against the skin, the stringers thereby being "compressed" within. Absent any specific definition otherwise, the examiner may take the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 15, 17, 25, 27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sprecht et al in view of Klapper et al (5,312,848) and further in view of Thom. Sprecht et al disclose a hydrophobic foam structure to fit between an adjacent pair of frames, compression fitting at least one layer of the foam between frame so that

the layer substantially covers at least one of a plurality of stringers, and coupling a trim piece over said layer such tat said layer is sandwiched between said trim piece and said outer skin (see Figure 3 and 4). Sprecht et al teach every aspect of the present invention except wherein the hydrophobic foam structure is open celled. Klapper et al disclose that it is well known in the art to use open celled foams for insulation aircrafts. (see abstract). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to use open celled foams for insulating the aircraft for the purpose of decreasing the overall aircraft weight

Sprecht in view of Klapper disclose every aspect of the present invention except wherein the hydrophobic open celled foam structure comprises a melamine based, thermosetting open-cell foam structure. Thom discloses that it known to use a melamine, open celled, foam in vehicle interiors to increase protection from fire and for sound absorption (see Col.1, lines 1-20). It would have been obvious to use the teachings of Thom to increase the safety of the aircraft.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-14, 18-24, 26-29, 31-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3644

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Holzen whose telephone number is $5\frac{1}{7}1$ 272-6903. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sah

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER